



MEMORANDUM

Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Biologics Evaluation and

Research

To: File of STN 125197/0
Device: ----(b)(4)-----
Sponsor: Dendreon Corporation

From: Valerie Coleman, Software Reviewer, Devices Review Branch

Subject: BLA Software Review Memo

Through: Linda Weir, BECS Expert, Devices Review Branch
Teresita C. Mercado, Chief, Devices Review Branch

Background:

I performed the software consult review for -----(b)(4)----- from Dendreon Corporation. The submission consisted of a 118 page amendment from the firm labeled, 1.6.3, Response to Request for ---(b)(4)---- Information. This document was in response to issues discovered during the January 25-29, 2010 FDA inspection of the firm. I received the software documentation on January 15, 2010, February 12, 2010 and February 19, 2010. Although the documents referenced two other systems: -----(b)(4)----- and Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS), these systems were not included as a part of the consult request from OCTGT.

Level of concern:

The software is a minor level of concern software.

Intended Use:

----- (b)(4) ----- that is used to schedule resources used for ordering, manufacturing, and delivering sipuleucel- T and communicate planned and actual times for such activities.

Device Description:

---(b)(4)--- is a scheduling tool that:

- -----(b)(4)-----.
- -----(b)(4)-----.
- -----(b)(4)-----.
- -----(b)(4)-----.
- -----(b)(4)-----.
- -----(b)(4)-----.
- -----(b)(4)-----.

Review Documentation-Additional Information Requested from the Firm:

Review of the submission required additional information from the firm before a recommendation could be made. FDA sent a letter requesting additional information to the firm on March 4, 2010. The firm requested a teleconference to discuss the intended use of --- (b)(4) --- that was held on March 5, 2010. On March 15, 2010, this reviewer received a 491 page response titled BLA STN 125197/0, Amendment No. 0043, dated March 10, 2010. The responses were adequate. The response contained a risk assessment table that identified several elements that the firm classified as a high risk priority; none of the elements appear to be clinically significant.

Items Reviewed:

- Level of concern
- Intended Use
- Risk Assessment
- Software Description
- Functional Requirements
- Traceability Matrix